#### **CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS**

**Cambridge International General Certificate of Secondary Education** 

# www.PapaCambridge.com MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2014 series

# 0470 HISTORY

0470/42

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2014 series for most Cambridge IGCSE®, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.

**BBCAMRRIDGE** 



		2.
Page 2	Mark Scheme	Sy. per
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047

#### Depth Study A: Germany 1918-1945

(a) (i)	Level 0 -	No evidence s	ubmitted or response	does not address	the question.
---------	-----------	---------------	----------------------	------------------	---------------

- Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.
- 1-2
- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. In political turmoil; moving from autocracy to democracy; seeking peace, etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source, e.g. Uses dates to show progression from autocracy to democracy; armistice to Versailles peace show desire for peace, etc. [5–6]
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
  - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source, e.g.
    - Yes Workers and soldiers taking over across the country; essential basis for the new government; new democracy; Bavarian soviet, etc.
    - No Maintaining property, and law and order; betrayed workers by use of army; violence of Freikorps and victory of old order, etc. [3–5]
  - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
  - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is facts from an encyclopaedia and the other is from a Communist leader so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
  - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
  - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

			Wy.	
Page 3		Mark Scheme Sy	per	
•	С	ambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047 700	
(b) (i	) Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not address the qu	uestion.	1
	Level 1 –	One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two, e.g. En 1918 Wilhelmshaven sailors refused to attack GB, fleet return 20 000 sailors and workers at meetings demanded peace an up Sailors' Council; triggered revolt across the country, etc.	ned to Kiel; c.	1
(ii	) Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not address the qu	uestion. [0]	l
	Level 1 –	Identifies revolt. Communists in Berlin; defeated by Freikorps	s. [1–2]	
	Level 2 –	Describes revolt. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect additional detail, e.g. January 1919, led by Luxembourg and despite initial reluctance, occupied Berlin newspaper, govern rail stations; Ebert and Groener pact used Freikorps to defeat	Liebknecht, nment offices and	
(iii	) Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not address the qu	uestion. [0]	
	Level 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation.	[1–2]	l
	Level 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason 'Stab in the back'; War Guilt clause; loss of territory; scale of 'never defeated'; not part of the negotiations; reductions in ming used it against the socialists, etc.	reparations;	
(iv	) Level 0 -	No evidence submitted or response does not address the qu	uestion. [0]	
	Level 1 –	Simple assertions. Yes, hyperinflation ended. No, depended on American loans	s. [1]	
	l evel 2 –	Explanation of stability OR lack of stability single factor give	n e a	

- Level 2 Explanation of stability OR lack of stability, single factor given, e.g.
  - Stab 'Golden Years'; ended passive resistance in the Ruhr; new currency; Dawes/Young Plans, American loans; reduced reparations; foreign investment; increased employment/wages/ exports; no further Putsches; peaceful elections; Marx and Luther Chancellorships with DVP, DDP, Centre support, Muller 1928–30 added SDP, etc.
  - Lack Stresemann only Chancellor for August to November 1923; too reliant on foreign loans and investment; agriculture and middle class not as prosperous; weaknesses in Constitution and coalition governments average span only 9 months; rightwing increasingly popular; violence of paramilitary groups; continued opposition to Versailles settlement, etc. N.B. Focus is IN Germany. [2]
- Level 3 Explanation of stability OR lack of stability with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.
  - OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB Balanced but Brief). Need to cover both economic and political aspects. [3–5]
- Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument.

  BOTH sides of stability AND lack of stability must be addressed. [6–8]

		2.
Page 4	Mark Scheme	Sy. per
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047

#### Depth Study B: Russia, 1905-1941

- (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.
  - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.

[1-2]

- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source, e.g. Lived a life of little food reward; violence used to encourage obedience etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source, e.g. Food ration by way of bread was dependant on fulfilling quotas of work; work very hard when prisoners were undernourished; violence by beating to encourage work and obedience, etc. [5–6]
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
  - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source, e.g.
    - Yes Industrial development better than capitalist countries in modern equipment methods; faster rate of development; able now to move forward from heavy to light industry to produce consumer goods, etc.
    - No Has not yet overtaken rivals in terms of consumer goods; urgent to move on; desire and sacrifice will be needed to benefit the population directly, etc. [3–5]
  - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far? [6–7]
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
  - Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is from an ex-prisoner, the other is from Stalin so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
  - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
  - Level 4 Choice made on grounds of reliability.

    Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.

    Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
    - 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

			in.	
Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syl	A.S mor	
rage 5	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2	2014	4) 8) Del	
(b) (i)	•	not address the qu	estion.  ne possibility uild a complete	
	Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maxing the working-class assuming power and u socialist society in our country without the in other countries. (Stalin's own definition	e victory of working-	ne possibility uild a complete class revolutions [1–2]	
(ii)	i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does	not address the qu	estion. [0]	
	Level 1 – Identifies aspects. To create the omniscient	ent and omni-preser	nt father figure. [1–2]	
	Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark additional detail, e.g. Propaganda to crea picture appeared everywhere – schools, streets. Roads and towns named after his success; slogans, songs, pictures (real obooks, art and poetry, etc.	te the father figure of offices, stations, fac m; supposed to be t	of the nation; his tories, town halls, he cause of every	
(iii)	i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does	not address the qu	estion. [0]	
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for	or the explanation.	[1–2]	
	<ul> <li>Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained, e.g. Bolshevik support usually found in towns with soldiers and workers; agriculture was spread across the country. Under NEP peasants had been able to make a profit; collectivisation meant greater state control over peasants; kulak opposition; needed education in new methods. Industry could more easily be controlled and supervised; genuine support; fear of NKVD drove people on; intimidation, etc.</li> </ul>			
(iv	<ul> <li>Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does</li> </ul>	not address the qu	estion. [0]	
	Level 1 – Simple assertions.  Yes, everyone feared the knock on the de	oor at night.	[1]	
	Level 2 – Explanation of terror OR other factors, sin	ngle factor given, e.	g.	
	Terror History of intimidation under Tsar' checked on people, workers; targe meant Gulag term or worse; Gulag projects; purges and Show Trials	ets unfulfilled or any g inmates used as la	indiscipline	

projects; purges and Show Trials, etc.

Other Genuine enthusiasm for Communism and solving its problems; propaganda – Cult of Personality; in favour of a system that brought better education, health and housing; chances for promotion of ordinary workers; pride in achievements, etc. [2]

Level 3 – Explanation of terror OR other factors with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

> OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB - Balanced but Brief). [3-5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of terror AND other factors must be addressed. [6–8]

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Sv. per
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047

#### Depth Study C: The USA, 1919-1941

- (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.
  - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.
- 1-2
- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source, e.g. Experienced; Republican; influential; callous; non-interfering; complacent; lacked foresight, etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source, e.g. Years in office; laissez-faire; anti-labour; not seeing Depression coming, etc. [5–6]
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
  - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source, e.g.
    - Yes US problems had already been resolved; foreign over-production; price falls; financial crises; lack of demand etc.
    - No Frivolous speculation at the expense of real investment; over-optimistic that US problems were already resolved; fear; lack of confidence; voluntary cooperation insufficient to cope with the scale of suffering, etc.

      [3–5]
  - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
  - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is British and the other is from the President himself so both could be biased/unreliable. [2]
  - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
  - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

    Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.

    Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
    - 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

		7.
Page 7	Mark Scheme	Sy. per
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047

- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.
  - Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two, e.g. Buying stocks 10% of cost; borrowing balance in the expectation of prices rising to cover; brought in small investors; banks used the method directly, unregulated. Crash ruined most of the small investors etc.
  - (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
    - Level 1 Identifies aspects. Share prices collapsed; Wall Street Crash. [1–2]
    - Level 2 Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail, e.g. Monday 21st October large scale selling, much by big investors; confidence falling; Black Thursday 24th c. \$9 billion fall in value; banks intervened, prices stabilised over the weekend; by 28th banks selling, c. \$14 billion lost; Black Tuesday 29th complete panic selling, c. 15 million shares losing \$32 billion in value, etc. [2–4]
  - (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
    - Level 1 Single reason One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]
    - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained, e.g. To win the election; Hoover's policies were not working; unemployment worsening; banking crisis; recreate confidence; FDR intervention in New York State had been effective; 'to restore America to its own people', etc. [2–6]

		2.
Page 8	Mark Scheme	Sy. per
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047

(iv) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.

Level 1 – Simple assertions.

Yes, began relief. No, piecemeal efforts.

Level 2 – Explanation of lessened impact OR not lessened, single factor given, e.g.

Less Reconstruction Finance Act, Federal Reserve strengthened, saved many banks and companies; Home Loan Act set up bank to aid mortgagees in difficulties; increased expenditure on public works – dams, etc. to increase employment; relief grants to local authorities; 1932 tax rise on higher incomes, etc.

Not Smoot-Hawley Tariff 1930 raised already high Fordney-McCumber to highest; loans to Europe withdrawn – exports to Europe fell from 1929 \$2341 million to \$784 million by 1932; blocked federal relief bill 1932; ordered dispersal of the Bonus Army; measures taken inadequate – initially lowering taxes; cutting government expenditure; focus on balanced budget; not tackling banks sufficiently; Party restricted options; 3% unemployment in 1929 – up to 25% in 1932; FDR 1933 policies had more direct effect: 100 Days Banking measures, FERA, NIRA, etc. N.B. final date is 1933.

Level 3 – Explanation of lessened impact OR not lessened with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of lessened impact AND not lessened must be addressed. [6–8]

		2.
Page 9	Mark Scheme	Sy. per
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047

#### Depth Study D: China 1945-c.1990

- (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.
  - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.

1–2

- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source, e.g. Chinese have long memories and resent some of their history; they support countries that have similar histories, etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source, e.g. Chinese have a strong dislike of foreigners who have oppressed them in the past, especially Europeans and Americans; they have a strong sympathy with other oppressed and colonial countries wishing to be independent, etc. [5–6]
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
  - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source, e.g.
    - Yes Soviet Union would not give weapons; accused of wanting to set up another Communist Party in Manchuria; emperor and subject; Mao worried about Soviet expansionism, etc.
    - No Regarded as China's big brother; model for Chinese social development; closest allies, etc. [3–5]
  - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
  - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from a British book, the other is from Mao's doctor so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
  - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
  - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

    Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.

    Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
    - 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

Dag 40			Mauly Calagore	S 2.0	
Page 10	C	amhrid	Mark Scheme ge IGCSE – October/November 2014	Syl. 7 80	per
4				S.C.	1
(b) (i)	Level 0 –	No evi	dence submitted or response does not address the	e question.	Mbr.
	Level 1 –	One m Vietna	ark for each valid example to a maximum of two, $\epsilon$ m.	9 question. e.g. Tibet, India,	[Tage
(ii)	Level 0 –	No evi	dence submitted or response does not address the	e question.	[0]
	Level 1 –	Identifi	es support, e.g. Finance and experts.		[1–2]
	Level 2 –	additio consid image better	bes support. Award an extra mark for each valid exnal detail, e.g. Soviet Union sent expert builders, the erable finance to build up the industries in China; the Soviet Union; Mao decided that China's Combased on an agricultural model; hence jealousies of creasing frustration on the Soviet side; aid withdrawards.	echnicians and to build China in mmunism would on the Chinese s	the be
(iii)	Level 0 –	No evi	dence submitted or response does not address the	e question.	[0]
	Level 1 –	Single	reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	on.	[1–2]
	Level 2 –	Persor junior pon a reto regulus USA g	e reasons. One for each reason, one for each reasonality clashes. Stalin kept Mao waiting several time partner; Khrushchev never understood Mao – both egular basis. Disagreed about Korea; USSR wante plate Chinese actions. Russians withdrew aid etc. i etting closer; border clashes – mooning Chinese see China its nuclear secrets; rivals as leaders of the	es, saw China as insulted one and d to be in a posit n 1960; Mao and oldiers; USSR w	the other ion I ould
(iv	Level 0 –	No evi	dence submitted or response does not address the	e question.	[0]
			e assertions. s China is getting involved with the rest of the worl	d.	[1]
	Level 2 –	Explan	ation of change OR no change, single factor giver	n, e.g.	
		Chan	Needed financial and technical help from the Westindustry, agriculture, science and technology; load foreign governments and banks; contracts with we equipment and expertise; 1980 joined IMF and Westerness led to closer political understanding	ns accepted from estern companie orld Bank; closei	s for
		No	Communist Party still very much in charge political criticism to maintain Party control – Tiananmen S criticism; closer ties seem to be very much on Ch secretive at times; most Chinese saw little change did, etc.	quare brought hເ ina's terms; almo	st
	Level 3 –	•	ation of change OR lack of change with multiple fa	actors. Allow sing	le
		OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the a BBB – Balanced but Brief).	argument (annota	ate [3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of change AND no change must be addressed.

[6–8]

		2.
Page 11	Mark Scheme	Sy. per
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047
		S

# Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century

- (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.
  - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.

[1–2]

Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source, e.g. Men can avoid them, women in OFS cannot; severe penalties; costly; discriminatory, etc.

[3-4]

Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source, e.g. 'Can obtain exemption'; all black women; prison punishments; monthly cost; irony of 'Free', etc.

[5–6]

- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.
  - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.

[1–2]

[0]

- Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source, e.g.
  - Yes Size of demonstration; spread of protest to all main areas; first passive resistance; lesson for future; laws relaxed; Bantu Women's League, etc.
  - No Limited to Pass Laws; arrests and prison sentences, hard labour; laws only 'relaxed'; one of few victories, etc. [3–5]
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
  - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from an activist, the other is from a South African historian so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
  - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
  - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

[6–7]

		`	32	
Page 12		Mark Scheme	Sy.	per
	Camb	ridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047	
(b) (i)	Level 0 - No	evidence submitted or response does not address th	e question.	dryb.
	ren blad	e mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two, e.tal of white land to black people was prohibited; share ck land ownership only in reserves; Commission set theres (7% of land).	e-cropping forbi	
(ii)	Level 0 - No	evidence submitted or response does not address th	e question.	[0]
		ntifies policies. Subsidies, grants, tax relief, marketing rictions on black ownership.	g boards; furthe	er [1–2]
	ado at fi	scribes policies. Award an extra mark for each policy litional detail, e.g. Subsidies for export crops; product xed price, sold at higher rate internally; 1937 Marketi Land Act 1936; black tenants' work doubled to 180 o	e control boards ing Act; Natives	Trust
(iii)	Level 0 - No	evidence submitted or response does not address th	e question.	[0]
	Level 1 – Sin	gle reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	on.	[1–2]
	Lab whi con Rar	tiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reasour laws denied the recognition of black workers' righte unions; unclear objectives; poor finance and admirflicts with Clements Kadalie; linked with Communists and workers; difficult to organise protests because of parcictions on leaders, etc.	hts; no solidarity histration interna ; failed to attrac	y from al ct black
(iv)	Level 0 - No	evidence submitted or response does not address th	e question.	[0]
		ple assertions. s, they all had jobs. No, black people had lower wage	S.	[1]
	Level 2 – Exp	lanation of benefit OR lack of benefit, single factor gi	ven, e.g.	
	Ber	South Africans had low unemployment compared world; best wages in Africa; gold boom; job creat government investment and subsidies; foreign in manufacturing as well as a raw materials produce	ion schemes; vestment; SA n	
	Lac	k Capitalists, large producers, white farmers/worke benefited most compared to poor whites/blacks v worker paid more for food; wage difference and of	vho lost land; ur	rban

BOTH sides of benefit AND lack of benefit must be addressed. [6–8]

Level 3 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit with multiple factors. Allow single

factors with multiple reasons.

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BBB - Balanced but Brief).

OR

high taxation; poor living conditions; increased discrimination, etc.

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate

[2]

[3-5]

		2.
Page 13	Mark Scheme	Sy. per
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047

# Depth Study F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1945–c.1994

- (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.
  - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.
- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source, e.g. All Arabs hated Sadat, etc. [3-4]
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source, e.g. Hatred of Sadat's actions unified Arab countries and Palestinian movement, ultimately leading to his death. This caused celebration throughout the Arab world, etc. [5–6]
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
  - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees supported from the source, e.g.
    - Yes The right to live in peace with all neighbours; commitment to deal with neighbours as per UN Charter, etc.
    - No Would have to give up the Sinai buffer; concessions to the Palestinians. especially the right to establish their own state; difficult for Israel to guarantee solutions, given the history from 1947 to 1977, etc. [3–5]
  - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- [0] (iii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.
  - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
  - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from a British journalist and the other is from a speech by Sadat so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
  - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
  - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

[6–7]

			`	34	
Page 14			Mark Scheme	Syl O	per
	Ca	mbrid	ge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047	
(b) (i)	Level 0 – I	Mark Scheme Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014  No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.  One mark for each person to a maximum of two, e.g. President Jimmy Caprime Minister Menachim Begin. N.B. Must be in the right order.  [1-			
			ark for each person to a maximum of two, e.g. Pro Minister Menachim Begin. N.B. Must be in the righ	esident Jimmy Ca nt order.	1 00
(ii)	Level 0 – I	No evi	dence submitted or response does not address the	e question.	[0]
	Level 1 – I	- Identifies aspects. Threatened Western economies by cutting production. [1-			
	; ;	additio cent ur and cu states	poes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid a nal detail, e.g. OPEC met and agreed to cut oil pro ntil Israel withdrew; Saudi Arabia placed a total ba t production by 10 per cent; price of oil rose drama now had a vested interest in a quick cease fire; Ar ctive weapon, etc.	oduction by five p n on exports to U atically; Western	oer JSA
(iii)	Level 0 – I	No evi	dence submitted or response does not address the	e question.	[0]
	Level 1 – S	Single	reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	on.	[1–2]
	 	Despite shown neither Kissing	e reasons. One for each reason, one for each rease inconclusive military outcome, Sadat had restore that the Israelis were not unbeatable; had stopped war nor peace; the support of the Arab states and per running around the Middle East in search of a ningful discussions with Israel at a later date, etc.	ed Egyptian pride d the nervous sta d the oil weapon	and ate of had
(iv)	Level 0 – I	No evi	dence submitted or response does not address the	e question.	[0]
		- Simple assertions. Yes, they were talking and agreeing.			[1]
	Level 2 – I	- Explanation of change or lack of change, single factor given, e.g.			
	,	Cha	Camp David: Israel recognised and promised sec Sinai after a phased Israeli withdrawal; Palestinia and in the Gaza Strip to move towards a self-gov Washington Treaty: both agreed to recognise eac in peace with secure and recognised borders; Pa remained unsolved, etc.	ns on the West E erning status. ch other's right to	Bank live
	1	Lack	May have got Egypt out of the equation but other by agreements; treaty denounced and Sadat acc Israel; Sadat's assassination in 1981; threats to Is fighting in Lebanon in 1982; Israel completely out 1982; Palestinian problem no further forward, etc	used of selling ou srael continued – of Sinai by April	ut to
			ation of change OR lack of change with multiple fawith multiple reasons.	actors. Allow sinç	jle

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB (Balanced but Brief). [3–

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of change AND lack of change must be addressed.

OR

**PA CAMBRIDGE** 

[3-5]

[6–8]

Page 15	Mark Scheme	Sy. oer
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047

# **Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society**

- (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.
  - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.

[1–2

- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source, e.g. They work very hard for many hours with little time for breaks; from early morning till late at night; some get enough to live on while others do not, etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source, e.g. They work long and hard, getting up at five o'clock, working from 6am to 7pm or even longer; short breaks of about one and a half hours; some live with 'decent comfort', others have meagre wages, etc. [5–6]
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
  - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source, e.g.
    - Yes Psychological strains; repetitive work, meaningless; being tied to a machine; skills disappearing, etc.
    - No Opportunities to rise to management despite lowly class; worker was not crushed and showed remarkable ability to adapt, etc. [3–5]
  - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
  - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
  - Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is from the nineteenth century and the other is from the twentieth century so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
  - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
  - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

    Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.

    Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
    - 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

		2.
Page 16	Mark Scheme	Syl per
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	047

- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.
  - Level 1 One mark for each valid example to a maximum of two, e.g. Hargreave's Spinning Jenny; Arkwright's Water Frame; Crompton's Mule; Cartwright's Power Loom, etc.
  - (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
    - Level 1 Identifies part played. Huge source of power and energy vital to industries. [1–2]
    - Level 2 Describes part played. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail, e.g. Great and vital powered machines in textile mills; used variously in coal mines to assist with extraction of coal, ventilation, drainage; rail industry and shipping industry, etc. [2–4]
  - (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
    - Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]
    - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained, e.g. Cheaper than men; children useful for cleaning machines, size enabled them to get underneath; women more dextrous and able to tie threads; availability, etc. [2–6]
  - (iv) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
    - Level 1 Simple assertions.

      Yes, brought groups and classes together.

      [1]
    - Level 2 Explanation of transport improvements impact OR other factors, single factor given, e.g.
      - Trans Provided means to factories to increase production and so provide employment; new methods of work and hours of work meant family life had to adjust; community life; provision of food; suburbs and commuters; holidays; fresh food, etc.
      - Other Changes were taking place anyway; enclosures drove people to seek work; increase in birth rate had impact; inventions changed way of life; many took their rural habits and customs to town and acted like a preindustrial group; health; dangers of living so close together, etc. [2]
    - Level 3 Explanation of transport improvements impact OR other factors with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.
      - OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB Balanced but Brief). [3–5]
    - Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument.

      BOTH sides of transport improvements impact AND other factors must be addressed.

      [6–8]

Page 17			Mark Scheme		Sy. A	per	
	C	ambr	dge IGCSE – October/Novem	ber 2014	047		
Page 17							
(a) (i)	Level 0 –	No e	idence submitted or response	does not address the	e question.	B	
	Level 1 –	Repe	ats material stated in the sourc	e, no inference mad	e.	[1–2]	
	Level 2 –	preve	s valid inferences, unsupported nt the unpredictable nature of t ife one day, and then be ravag	the life of Africans w	here they can h		
	Level 3 –	will b	orts valid inferences with refere ing certainty to the lives of Afric many deaths, and stop the kid ing Africans the blessing of pro	cans by preventing t dnapping of women;	he violent raids	s which	
(ii)	Level 0 –	No e	idence submitted or response	does not address the	e question.	[0]	
	Level 1 –	Agre	s OR disagrees, unsupported	from the source.		[1–2]	
	Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source, e.g.						
		Yes	In the first and last lines the Ki God and then repeats a simila				
		No	He also expects to receive pre houses from the contact with E		ng, arms and go	ood [3–5]	
	Level 3 –	Agre 'How	s AND disagrees, supported fr far?'	rom the source. Add	resses the issu	e of [6–7]	
(iii)	Level 0 –	No e	idence submitted or response	does not address the	e question.	[0]	
	Level 1 –		l/not useful – Choice made on information, but does not spec			/gives [1]	
	Level 2 –		l/not useful – One is from a ma a local ruler so both could be b		rica and the oth	ner is [2]	
	Level 3 –		e made on the nature or amou ation.	nt of information give	en. Must specif	fy what [3–5]	
	Level 4 –	Disc	e made on the grounds of relia ssion of utility must be made o	n valid evaluation of			

Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show

reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

[6-7]

		С	ambrid	Ige IGCSE – October/November 2014 047		
(b)	(i)	Level 0 –	No evi	dence submitted or response does not address the question.  mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two, e.g. Developed by	Mb	
		Level 1 –	Lugaro mainta	nark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two, e.g. Developed by d to keep down costs of rule in the Empire; allow the local rulers to ain their rule as long as they accept British overall control, and they axes, etc.		
	(ii)	Level 0 –	No evi	dence submitted or response does not address the question.	[0]	
		Level 1 –	Identif	ies work. Spreading Christianity and saving the heathen.	[1–2]	
		Level 2 –	addition enlight	bes work. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in onal detail, e.g. Spreading the word of God to heathen and savage tening them to the life of Jesus; caring and education; side effects valid trade; some say exploitation; health benefits, etc.		
	(iii)	Level 0 –	No evi	dence submitted or response does not address the question.	[0]	
		Level 1 –	Single	reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation.	[1–2]	
		Level 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained, e.g. To settle differences and spheres of influence in Africa; to prevent hostilities between European imperial powers; to decide on the future of the Congo and East Africa; most European countries realised a 'scramble' would bring dangers etc.; other areas discussed were shipping navigation of rivers, etc. [2–6]			
	(iv)	Level 0 –	No evi	dence submitted or response does not address the question.	[0]	
		Level 1 –		e assertions. fricans were terribly exploited.	[1]	
		Level 2 –	Explanation of harmful OR not harmful, single factor given, e.g.			
			Harm	Africans often exploited as cheap labour; raw materials taken at locost; local cultures ignored or deliberately attacked as 'barbaric'; Western ideas introduced by force rather than by assimilation, etc.		
			Not	A form of justice delivered; government structures; protection from raids and other tribes; infrastructure with roads, harbours built; missionaries brought education; increased trade helped some loc etc.		
		Level 3 –		nation of harmful OR not harmful with multiple factors. Allow single s with multiple reasons.		

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (Annotate

OR

BBB – Balanced but Brief).

BOTH sides of harmful AND not harmful must be addressed.

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

**Mark Scheme** 

Page 18

[3-5]

[6-8]

wer